

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,553	09/02/2003	Thomas D. Anspach	N1065	6698	
75	90 09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Norman Friedland			SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
Suite 400 11300 US HIGI	Suite 400 11300 US HIGHWAY ONE			PAPER NUMBER	
North Palm Bea	nch, FL 33408		3763		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/655,553	ANSPACH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Sirmons	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodified in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a reply received by the Office later than three months afterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. ) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>02 Se<i>ptember 2003</i>.</u>		
<b>,</b> -	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition f		•	
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-10 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	<b>.</b>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/655,553

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 2, claim 2 cannot depend from itself.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim: 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tribble U.S. Pat. No. 3,823,720 in view of Cocanower U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,167.

Tribble discloses a combined suction and direction irrigation apparatus for use in a surgical procedure including an irrigation tubular member (34) having a lumen connected to a source of irrigation fluid (irrigation means not shown) and a suction tubular member (20) having a lumen connected to a suction source (19), said irrigation tubular member being removably supported to said suction tubular member (figs. 2 and 4), and means (26) attached to said irrigation tubular member and said suction tubular member.

Art Unit: 3763

Tribble does not disclose a discharge port on the side surface at the distal end of said irrigation tubular member. Cocanower discloses a discharge port (26) on the side surface of the irrigation tubular member. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Tribble with the side surface port of Cocanower for improved irrigation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-10 are allowable over the prior art of record

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

9/19/04